

Ex fissio ad astra: extending nuclear phenomenology to the fission fragment region

MTV Workshop, 2023

K. Beyer¹, C. D. Pruitt², A. E. Lovell³, B. C. Kiedrowski¹ ¹University of Michigan ²Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ³Los Alamos National Laboratory





Mission Relevance: why do we care about fission fragments?

- **fission modeling** (e.g. CGMF): energy, open physics q's, data evaluation [Lovell and Neudecker, 2021]
- cross sections for fission fragments: spent nuclear fuel, accelerator driven and fast breeder reactors, non-proliferation, forensics [Hebborn et al., 2022]
- cosmology: neutron capture processes in supernovae and compact-object mergers
 - sensitive to isovector terms in optical model [Goriely and Delaroche, 2007]



[Hebborn, et al, 2022]

Goal: construct the first Dispersive Optical Model (DOM) fit to fission observables







The Dispersive Self-Energy (DSE): like a complex "index of refraction" for nuclear media

 complex, energy dependent, non-local potential that a particle feels due to correlations with a medium:



1st (Hartree-Fock) and 2nd (1p1h) order contributions to the DSE

- phenomenological; can be fit to reaction and structure observables
 - function of (r,r',E,A,Z)
 - 10-50 parameters
- Optical Model (OM) refers to simplified DSE models
- fit to reaction observables only (elastic xs)





Question: are fission observables even sensitive to the OM?

Method: Propagation of uncertainty of 3 optical models in CGMF to fission observables using Monte Carlo Hauser-Feshbach:

- phenomenological:
 Koning-Delaroche,
 Chapel-Hill 89
- microscopic:
 Whitehead-Lim-Holt



Answer: yes!

C. D. Pruitt, et al, 2023: <u>arxiv.org/abs/2211.07741</u> T. R. Whitehead, et al, 2021: <u>arxiv.org/abs/2009.08436</u>





Question: are fission observables even sensitive to the OM?



Answer: sorta?





Question: are fission observables even sensitive to the OM?



- key experiments: fragment-correlated neutron spectroscopy
- microscopic nuclear matter approach unsuitable?





We can (and need to) speed things up by training a Reduced Basis Method (RBM) reaction emulator

- MCHF × MCMC? That's Monte-Carlo²!
- the Reduced Basis Method (RBM) can be used to emulate a high-fidelity solver of the Schrödinger equation; quickly generate scattering solutions as a parameter is perturbed

Offline:

- 1. Construct training space with high-fidelity solver
- 2. Compress with Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD) Online:
- 3. Solve reduced system projecting onto principal components





We can speed things up by training a Reduced Basis Method (RBM) reaction emulator

Test problem: scattering on a Woods-Saxon potential with varying width:

- 1000x speedup!
- online stage: inverting 5x5 matrix
- non-affine in width parameter; requires Empirical Interpolation Method (EIM)
- github.com/kylegodbey/nuclear-rbm







To do: put it all together and fit an optical model to fission

Novel Hauser-Feshbach approach using only ingredients from the DSE:

- Determine off-shell T-matrix by solving the Dyson equation in Lagrange-Legendre basis
- 2. Determine single-particle propagator in momentum space from T-Matrix
- Extract spectral density from imaginary part of propagator
 No longer need external level density model!

$$p(\alpha \to \beta) = \frac{|\langle \alpha | \mathcal{T} | \beta \rangle|^2 \rho(\beta)}{\sum_{\gamma} |\langle \alpha | \mathcal{T} | \gamma \rangle|^2 \rho(\gamma)}$$







Conclusions:

- fission observables are sensitive to the optical model
- microscopic models from nuclear matter folding approach may be unsuitable to describe low energy scale of fission
- emulators based on the RBM show great promise to accelerate Monte Carlo Hauser-Feshbach simulations

Remaining tasks:

- integrate RBM emulator into Hauser-Feshbach
- perform MCMC fitting





Expected Impact:

- Better understanding of nuclear reaction and structure away from stability
- Improved modeling of systems with fission fragments
 - Breeder reactors, non-proliferation scenarios, etc.
- Optimization, uncertainty-quantification, significant (1E2-3x) speedup, to CGMF

MTV Impact:

- Collaboration with Cole Pruitt; LLNL Nuclear Data & Theory (NDT) group
- Fall 22 on-site visit and collaboration with Amy Lovell; LANL Nuclear Data Group (T-2)
- Collaboration with FRIB-TA/BAND via MSU & OU: <u>github.com/kylegodbey/nuclear-rbm</u>
- Calculable R-matrix code with library of optical potentials: <u>github.com/beykyle/omplib</u>
- Modified version of CGMF: <u>github.com/beykyle/cgmf</u>





Acknowledgements



P			
Massachusetts Institute of			
Tech	nnol	ogy	











The Consortium for Monitoring, Technology, and Verification would like to thank the NNSA and DOE for the continued support of these research activities.

This work was funded by the Consortium for Monitoring, Technology, and Verification under Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration award number DE-NA0003920, and LANL LDRD 20220532ECR: Global Optimization of Correlated Fission Observables with Quantified Uncertainties











PennState

PRINCETON

UNIVERSITY



MICHIGAN